| ` ` Interview Summary  | Application N .     | Applicant(s) |
|--|---------------------|--------------|
|  | 09/543,281          | MA ET AL.    |
|  | Examiner            | Art Unit     |
|  | Lawrence D Ferguson | 1774         |
| All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):   |                     |              |
| (1) <u>Lawrence D Ferguson</u> .   | (3)                 |              |
| (2) Gary Engelson.   | (4)                 |              |
| Date of Interview: <u>06 March 2003</u> .  |                     |              |
| Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]   |                     |              |
| Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.  If Yes, brief description:  |                     |              |
| Claim(s) discussed: <u>1 and 11</u> .  |                     |              |
| Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Buckingham, Rosen and Lee</u> .  |                     |              |
| Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.   |                     |              |
| Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .   |                     |              |
| (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)   |                     |              |
| THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.   |                     |              |
| Formal reply not necessary   |                     |              |
| CYNTHIA M. KELLY SUPERIOR OF SUPERIOR S |                     |              |

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Application No. 09/543,281

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner stated the 112, first paragraph would be withdrawn. Examiner stated, in claims 1 and 11, 'a coating system of layers having a thermal conductivity that maintains the coating system of layers at a temperature that does not cause more evaporation during read and write operations of the coating system of laeyrs and of molecules absorbed therein from an ambient atmosphere than absent the read and write operations' is directed to a product by process claim limitation. Applicant disagreed stating the thermal conductivity of the coating system is being claimed with the language 'maintains the coating system of laeyrs at a temperature that doe snto cause more evaporation during read and write operations of the coating system of laeyrs and of molecules adsorbed therein from an ambient atmosphere than absent the read and write operations' merely defines the physical characteristic of the thermal conductivity using functional language. Examiner degrees stating the thermal conductivity lacks a requisite degree and the claim language is misleading.